Biomechanics and physical examination of the posteromedial and posterolateral knee: state of the art
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ABSTRACT
The posteromedial and posterolateral corner structures contribute significantly to knee stability. The posterior oblique ligament is a primary restraint to internal rotation and a secondary restraint to valgus. The superficial fibres of the medial collateral ligament are the primary valgus restraint and also provide secondary internal and external rotation stability. The deep fibres of the medial collateral provide additional restraint to internal and external rotation as well as valgus. The posteromedial capsule provides a secondary restraint to valgus and posterior translation. The lateral (fibular) collateral ligament is the primary varus stabiliser. The popliteus tendon complex is a primary restraint to external rotation. The popliteofibular ligament is a secondary restraint to external rotation and varus. Many physical examination manoeuvres have been described to assess these structures. Manoeuvres assessing the posterolateral structures include the varus stress test, dial test, the posterolateral drawer, the external rotation recurvatum test, heel height test and the reverse pivot shift. Examination manoeuvres that assess the posteromedial structures include the valgus stress test, dial test, anterolateral drawer test and anteromedial drawer test. Proper application of physical examination manoeuvres in conjunction with other diagnostic modalities will allow providers to develop appropriate treatment plans.

INTRODUCTION
The last 20 years have demonstrated a growth in the knowledge of the importance of the posteromedial and posterolateral knee ligamentous and non-ligamentous structures and their functions. The posteromedial and posterolateral structures of the knee provide important contributions to overall knee stability. When left untreated, insufficiency of posteromedial or posterolateral structures can lead to patient morbidity through an increased risk of graft failure in cruciate ligament reconstructions or in the form of chronic rotatory instability.1–4 Clinicians therefore benefit from a sound understanding of the relevant biomechanics and the physical examination manoeuvres necessary to properly diagnose such injuries. From the early work of Hughston and Norwood in the 1970s to that of Gollehon, Grood and Noyes in the 1980s, orthopaedic surgeons have studied these structures to better elucidate their specific roles.1,2,5–9 These early studies have progressed to include more recent advanced research methodologies using better definition of quantitative anatomy, selective sectioning, load cells, and imaging techniques which have greatly advanced the understanding of the function and importance of these structures.

This state-of-the-art paper seeks to synthesise the current literature and provide the most up-to-date international perspective of the biomechanics and physical examination of the posteromedial and posterolateral structures of the knee.

TERMINOLOGY
A number of recent review articles about the posteromedial and posterolateral corners of the knee demonstrate the continued interest of these structures. In 2017, Cinque and colleagues published a comprehensive review of the posteromedial corner describing the key anatomy, diagnostic tools and treatment options.5 They describe the posteromedial corner consisting of the deep and superficial medial collateral ligament (dMCL and sMCL), the posterior oblique ligament (POL), the oblique popliteal ligament and the posterior horn of the meniscus. Chahla and colleagues published a recent current concept review discussing the posterolateral corner focusing on the lateral collateral ligament (LCL), the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL), the popliteus tendon (PLT) and the popliteofibular ligament (PFL).5–9

Due to the complex kinematics of the knee, clear terminology must be used to describe its motion. Some of the confusion in the past has been differing terminology used for the same structures. For clarity in this paper and for consistency, the terms primary and secondary restraints will be used. As previously defined in the literature, primary restraints resist the majority of force in a given direction, while secondary restraints contribute to stability after the primary restraint has been removed and further excursion has occurred.10

POSTEROMEDIAL CORNER ANATOMY
Though a consensus has not been reached on what structures constitute the posteromedial corner, biomechanical evidence suggests that the sMCL, dMCL and POL are the most clinically relevant (figure 1). Several authors also recognise the semimembranosus tendon with its expansions, the posterior horn of the medial meniscus and the oblique popliteal ligament as important components of the posteromedial corner.5,11–13 Cadaveric evaluation of the semimembranosus tendon is limited by its dynamic nature. The medial meniscus similarly presents challenges to rigorous biomechanical evaluation due to its variable injury pattern and its propensity to undergo degenerative changes with age. These structures are omitted from this paper.
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due to a lack of biomechanical literature, but their importance should not be ignored.

**Medial collateral ligament**

The MCL is typically described as having deep and superficial components. The superficial component (sMCL) can be further subdivided into the proximal and distal superficial medial collateral ligament based on separate insertion sites. The dMCL is also subdivided into the meniscofemoral component and meniscotibial components, demarcated by the attachment to the medial meniscus. The overall function of the medial collateral ligament is stabilisation of the knee to resist valgus stress with additional contributions to external rotation and translation.

**Superficial medial collateral ligament**

As demonstrated in several biomechanical studies, the sMCL is the primary restraint to valgus stress at all angles of knee flexion and contributes restraint to both external and internal rotation.14–16 Griffith et al specifically identified the proximal sMCL to be the primary restraint to valgus at all angles of knee flexion.15 Primary external rotation restraint of the sMCL at 60° and 90° of knee flexion has been reported by Robinson et al16 while Griffith et al15 identified primary restraint to external rotation at 30° of knee flexion and secondary external rotation restraint at 0°, 20°, 60° and 90° of knee flexion. The distal sMCL was described as a primary restraint to internal rotation at all angles of knee flexion by Griffith et al15 while Wijdicks et al17 recognised the sMCL as only a secondary stabiliser to internal rotation at 20° of knee flexion. An intact cadaveric knee study using buckle transducers by Griffith et al14 documented lower sMCL stresses to internal rotation than to external rotation at all angles of knee flexion.

**Deep medial collateral ligament**

The dMCL contributes secondary restraint to valgus stress, internal rotation and external rotation of the knee. Multiple studies support dMCL valgus stress restraint. Griffith et al15 recognised the meniscofemoral and meniscotibial divisions both resist valgus at 60° of knee flexion, Robinson et al16 reported secondary valgus restraint from 15° to 90° of knee flexion, and Wijdicks et al18 noted secondary restraint to valgus through the full range of knee flexion. There is mixed evidence with regard to the role of the dMCL in restraining internal rotation. Griffith et al15 reported the meniscofemoral component of the dMCL was a primary restraint to internal rotation at 20°, 60° and 90° of knee flexion and a secondary restraint to internal rotation at 0° and 30° of knee flexion. The meniscotibial component was reported to be a secondary restraint to internal rotation at 0°, 30° and 90° of knee flexion. In contrast, Robinson et al16 were unable to demonstrate a role for the dMCL in resisting internal rotation. LaPrade and Wijdicks19 described the meniscofemoral division as a secondary restraint to both internal rotation and external rotation. Wijdicks and colleagues18 found the dMCL to be a secondary restraint to external rotation between 30° and 90° of knee flexion.

**Posterior oblique ligament**

The POL functions as a primary restraint to internal rotation of the tibia as well as a secondary restraint to valgus and external rotation.11 Multiple studies have recognised the POL as a primary restraint to internal rotation at all angles of knee flexion, with LaPrade and Wijdicks19 and Griffith et al15 noting more significant contribution with the knee in extension.14 15 19
Further, Wijdicks et al.\textsuperscript{18} described a reciprocal function between the POL and the sMCL in resisting internal rotation. The POL provides more internal rotation restraint in extension while the sMCL contributes more restraint in higher degrees of knee flexion. The POL has also been identified as a secondary restraint to external rotation at 30° of knee flexion\textsuperscript{19} as well as at 60° on knee flexion.\textsuperscript{17} Last, the POL is a secondary restraint to valgus at 0°, 20° and 30° of knee flexion\textsuperscript{13,17} (figure 2).

**Posteromedial capsule**

The posteromedial capsule (PMC) serves as a secondary restraint to valgus when the knee is extended. Only two studies were identified that specifically evaluated the biomechanical function of the PMC. Grood et al.\textsuperscript{20} reported that the PMC contributed to 17.5% of valgus restraint at 5° of knee flexion which diminished to 3.6% at 25° of knee flexion. Robinson et al.\textsuperscript{16} also found that the PMC was a secondary restraint to valgus in knee extension.

**POSTEROLATERAL CORNER ANATOMY**

The main static stabilisers of the posterolateral corner are traditionally described as consisting of the lateral (fibular) collateral ligament (LCL), the popliteofibular ligament (PFL) and the popliteus tendon (PLT). Literature supports the clinical relevance of the LCL, the PLT and the PFL (figure 3).

**Lateral collateral ligament**

The LCL provides primary varus stability.\textsuperscript{6} LaPrade et al.\textsuperscript{20} performed a biomechanical sectioning study evaluating the varus restraint provided by the posterolateral corner structures at 20° of knee flexion. Using stress radiographs, they demonstrated that incremental lateral joint space widening occurred after sectioning of the LCL and PLT. Isolated LCL injury increased lateral opening asymmetry by 2.7 mm to a clinician-applied static varus moment. This increased by 4.0 mm with additional injury to the PLT and PFL. Additional resection of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) led to an increased lateral joint space of 5.3 mm. Application of a mechanical varus moment by a load cell also demonstrated similar findings. They concluded that the use of varus stress radiography may provide an objective tool to diagnose lateral knee injury.

**Popliteus tendon complex**

The PLT is a primary restraint to external rotation of the tibia and secondary restraint to varus and posterior translation.\textsuperscript{21,22} Covey\textsuperscript{21} reviewed several biomechanical studies focused on the posterolateral corner. They cite that the PLT provides primary restraint to external rotation of the tibia with the knee in 20° to 130° of knee flexion. In addition, they note restraint to varus between the knee flexion angles of 0° and 90°. Further, Veltri \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{23} reported that the PLT provided restraint to posterior translation, varus rotation and external rotation. And finally, Höher \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{24} reported that the PLT and the LCL serve as secondary restraints to posterior translation in a PCL-deficient knee. A more recent study by LaPrade \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{25} evaluated the static contribution of the popliteus tendon using cadaveric sectioning followed by anatomical reconstruction. They identified increased external rotation of the tibia with PLT sectioning at 30°, 60° and 90° of knee flexion. This was restored to baseline with their anatomical reconstruction technique. They also noted a small but significant increase in internal rotation and varus angulation after sectioning that did not resolve with PLT reconstruction. No differences were seen in posterior translation. Based on these findings, the PLT plays a primary role in external rotation restraint (figure 3).

**Popliteofibular ligament**

The PFL functions as a primary restraint to external rotation with a mild secondary contribution to varus stability and internal rotation restraint. Maynard \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{26} assessed the role of the PFL in a cadaveric varus load to failure study. After isolating the LCL, PFL and PLT, a pure varus moment was applied to cadaveric knees. They reported that the LCL fails first, the PFL second and the PLT third, highlighting the secondary role of the PFL in varus restraint. Veltri \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{27} performed a cadaveric study to examine the static contributions of the popliteus complex to knee stability by selectively cutting the LCL, the PFL and the PLT attachment to the tibia. They found that the PFL and the PLT were important in resisting posterior translation, varus rotation and external rotation. McCarthy \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{28} evaluated the role of PFL reconstruction in a cadaveric posterolateral corner.
serves as a comparison. Varus angulation and external rotation of the knee. The uninjured knee is brought into the position of the great toe while assessing for hyperextension, varus angulation, and external rotation of the knee. The examinert then suspends the limb by the great toe and holds the thigh down toward the examining table while assessing for hyperextension, varus angulation, and external rotation of the knee. The uninjured knee serves as a comparison.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Primary role</th>
<th>Secondary role</th>
<th>Physical examination manoeuvres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sMCL</td>
<td>Valgus at all angles of knee flexion</td>
<td>External rotation</td>
<td>Valgus stress test, Internal rotation, Anterolateral drawer, Anteromedial rotatory instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dMCL</td>
<td>Valgus, external rotation, internal rotation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valgus stress test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POL</td>
<td>Internal rotation (especially in full extension and external rotation)</td>
<td>Valgus</td>
<td>Anterolateral drawer, Anteromedial rotatory instability, Anteromedial drawer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMC</td>
<td>Posterior translation, internal rotation, valgus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anterolateral drawer, Anteromedial rotatory instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL</td>
<td>Varus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Varus stress, Figure of four, Heel height test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>External rotation</td>
<td>Posterior translation, varus</td>
<td>Dial test, Reverse pivot shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFL</td>
<td>Posterior translation, varus, internal rotation, external rotation</td>
<td>Dial test, Reverse pivot shift</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>Posterior translation, external rotation</td>
<td>Varus, valgus in extension</td>
<td>Posterior drawer, Dial test—at 90°, Reverse pivot shift, Varus—in extension, Posterior sag, Quadriceps active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dMCL, deep medial collateral ligament; LCL, lateral collateral ligament; PCL, posterior cruciate ligament; PFL, popliteofibular ligament; PLT, popliteus tendon; PMC, posteromedial capsule; POL, posterior oblique ligament; sMCL, superficial medial collateral ligament.

### Posterior cruciate ligament

Several biomechanical studies have been performed to assess the role of the PCL. In summary, the PCL is a primary restraint to posterior tibial translation and also contributes secondary restraint to varus, valgus and external rotation. A study comparing results of a mechanically applied load to that of a skilled practitioner demonstrated excellent correlation of radiographically measured findings. Several cadaveric studies have identified primary restraint to posterior tibial translation through isolated PCL sectioning. Others have also noted the secondary restraint to varus and external rotation. Combined injury has also been assessed. Sekiya and colleagues noted that a posteriorly directed stress in 90° of knee flexion produced <10 mm of posterior translation after isolated PCL sectioning but 19.5 mm of posterior displacement with concomitant sectioning of the remaining posterolateral corner. The same study also noted increasing external tibial rotation modelling the dial test when combining posterolateral corner injury with PCL injury at both 30° and 90° of knee flexion. In an assessment of medial knee instability, LaPrade et al. noted that combined SMCL and ACL injury produced larger valgus instability than combined SMCL and PCL injury at 20° of knee flexion, but that the opposite held true when the knee was in extension. This implies that the PCL is a more significant secondary restraint to valgus in extension while the ACL is a more significant secondary valgus restraint at 20° of knee flexion.

### PHYSICAL EXAMINATION

**General considerations**

Physical examination is an integral tool in the appropriate diagnosis of the patient. It is generally agreed to be the "cornerstone of successful diagnosis and subsequent treatment of complex knee injuries".

Physicians must account for potential guarding in the injured patient, especially during the acute phase. Patient muscle tone can limit the sensitivity of physical examination manoeuvres. Some authors advocate for examination under anaesthesia or use of non-invasive testing such as MRI. While MRI is unquestionably a valuable tool, it is not perfectly accurate. Thus, it should be used in conjunction with patient history and physical examination. MRI has been shown to be useful in the acutely injured patient for identifying posterolateral corner injuries but less reliable in the chronic setting. Patient factors such as ligamentous laxity and body habitus can influence physical examination. Physicians should therefore compare physical examination findings of the injured knee to the contralateral uninjured side whenever possible.

Physical examination is also dependent on the proficiency of the physician. A study comparing results of a mechanically applied load to that of a skilled practitioner demonstrated excellent correlation of radiographically measured findings. In contrast, Branch and colleagues compared the accuracy of a robotic testing device to the hands of an orthopaedic practitioner and found that the robotic testing outperformed the human.
Several instrumented systems are available to assist in obtaining objective laxity measurements, although these are not widely used. The Rottrometer, the ROTAM (Genourob, Laval, France), the Rotameter and the Branch device are examples of external devices designed to assist in measuring knee rotation. The Rottrometer holds the knee in a fixed degree of flexion and allows the examiner to apply a defined rotational moment. Almquist et al. assessed the reliability in live subjects of the Rottrometer using Roentgen Stereometric Analysis. They found an overestimation of tibial rotation by approximately 100% attributable to soft-tissue motion and compensatory movement in adjacent joints. Good correlation was observed between the two measurement methods with an $r^2$ of 0.87. A later study by Almquist and colleagues demonstrated good to excellent intra-rater correlation coefficient when applying moments of 6 or 9 Nm. Worse correlation was observed when using 3 Nm moments. The ROTAM applies a motorised tibial rotational moment with the thigh and ankle held in fixed positions and has been used to mimic the dial test. The Rotameter allows the examiner to apply a precise rotational moment to a limb while the ankle is stabilised in a customised boot. Cadaveric comparison of the Rotameter relative to a navigation system showed overestimation of rotation from 5° to 25° with high correlation coefficients ranging from 0.90 to 0.95 for external rotation and 0.87–0.93 for internal rotation. Better correlation was observed at higher magnitudes of applied moments. In live human subjects, the Rotameter showed similarly high levels of intra-observer and inter-tester reliability. The Branch device is another robotic apparatus which demonstrated excellent intraclass correlation coefficients of 0.97 for tibial rotation in patients lying supine with knees flexed to 25°. The Vermont knee laxity device is a system developed to assess anterior–posterior motion of a knee in non-weightbearing and simulated weightbearing conditions. The reliability of the Vermont knee laxity device was compared with that of the KT-1000 knee arthrometer (Medmetric, San Diego, CA) in a study by Un and colleagues. They identified significant differences in inter-observer reliability but no differences in intra-rater reliability.

While the remainder of this section will focus on specific examination manoeuvres, the physical examination should begin with inspection and palpation as these basic components can provide valuable information to the practitioner and calibrate level of suspicion for specific injuries appropriately (table 1).

**Posterolateral corner examination manoeuvres**

**Varus stress test**
The varus stress test is a crucial component to accurate physical diagnosis of posterolateral corner injury. Varus testing with the knee in full extension and at 30° of flexion has been documented by several studies to aid in diagnosis of isolated LCL injury versus...
additional PCL injury. Concomitant cruciate injury is evidenced by increased varus instability in extension.20 30

External rotation recurvatum test
The external rotation recurvatum test is performed by suspending both legs of the patient in supine position by the great toes and observing the tibial relationship to the femur bilaterally. Historically, a positive test was described as relative hyperextension of the knee with relative external rotation of the tibial tubercle and varus deformity of the knee.3 The external rotation recurvatum test was considered positive when the ACL, PCL and posterolateral corner (PLC) are injured.43 Older studies evaluating the accuracy of this test had reported a sensitivity between 73% and 80% among patients with documented posterolateral corner injury.43–45 More recently, a rigorous evaluation of the external rotation recurvatum test was performed by LaPrade et al using both clinical examination and radiographic findings.46 Their group identified only 7.5% sensitivity of the test among 134 patients confirmed intraoperatively to have PLC injury. Radiographs also demonstrated anterior translation of the tibia relative to the femur in all patients, rather than the anticipated and previously described posterior subluxation. Last, they identified combined ACL and PLC injury in all patients with a positive external rotation recurvatum test. In light of this more recent research, a positive external rotation recurvatum test is defined as increased recurvatum, varus widening and anterior translation of the tibia relative to the femur. It is indicative of a combined PLC and ACL injury. This test does not provide insight into the specific PLC structures that are incompetent, though a significant injury to multiple posterolateral structures is inferred (figure 4).

Heel height test
The heel height test is a variant of the external rotation recurvatum test used to differentiate between isolated ACL tears and combined ACL and LCL tears. With the patient in a supine position, the examiner lifts the great toe while stabilising the distal thigh to prevent knee elevation and thigh rotation. An assistant measures the distance between the heel and the examination table. This measurement is compared with the contralateral side. Cinque et al reported a 2.5 cm difference indicated combined injury with 74% sensitivity, 92% specificity, 86% positive predictive value and 86% negative predictive value.47 The heel height test compared favourably to MRI which had only 48% sensitivity and 88% specificity across the same patient population.

Internal rotation posterior drawer manoeuvre
The internal rotation variant of the posterior drawer manoeuvre distinguishes between isolated PCL injury and combined injury of the PCL with sMCL injury. Hughston and Norwood described positioning the tibia of the supine patient, with the knee flexed

Figure 7  Reverse pivot shift test—the patient is positioned supine with the knee flexed to 90°. External rotation and valgus loads are applied by the examiner and the knee is gradually extended. A positive test is indicated by the palpable reduction of the posteriorly subluxated lateral tibial condyle.

Figure 8  Posterolateral drawer test—the patient is positioned supine with the knee flexed to 90°. The examiner then places an external rotation and posteriorly directed force on the proximal tibia and stabilises the foot. The examiner assesses for posterolateral rotation of the tibia and palpates for step-off of the lateral tibial plateau relative to the lateral femoral condyle.
Figure 9  Figure-of-four manoeuvre—with the patient supine, the ankle of the injured extremity is rested on the anterior surface of the contralateral thigh. The examiner then palpates for a taut lateral collateral ligament between the lateral femoral epicondyle and the mid-fibular head.

to 90°, in internal rotation. A posteriorly directed force is then applied to the tibia. The degree of posterior displacement with internal rotation is compared with the displacement observed in the neutral posterior drawer test. It was initially proposed that internal tibial rotation would recruit additional capsuloligamentous structures resulting in decreased posterior motion. Ritchie et al. analysed this manoeuvre in a cadaveric study and concluded that the sMCL is the specific structure responsible for significantly decreasing the posterior translation when the tibia is internally rotated. They also determined that the POL, dMCL and meniscofemoral ligaments do not contribute to this phenomenon. Some authors have recommended assessing for posterolateral rotatory instability during internal rotation which can implicate posterolateral corner injury. Lubowitz et al. also described the posterior Lachman manoeuvre. This variant of the posterior drawer is performed with the knee flexed to 30° while a posteriorly directed force is applied. Increased posterior translation of the tibia indicates PCL injury (figure 5).

Figure 10  Anterolateral drawer test—with the patient supine and knee flexed to 90°, an anteriorly directed load is applied to the proximal tibia in both neutral rotation and 15° external rotation. If the externally rotated knee translates over the anteromedial tibia equal to or more than the neutrally positioned knee, the test is considered positive, indicating injury to posteromedial structures.

Dial test
The dial test examines the integrity of the PCL and the posterolateral corner. It is typically performed by a single examiner on the patient in prone position by applying an external rotation load to bilateral feet and comparing the difference in side-to-side external rotation with the knee in both 30° and 90° of knee flexion. An assistant may be used to maintain thigh rotation. Increased external rotation at 30° implicates deficiency of the PLC. Increased external rotation at both 30° and 90° implicates deficiency of both the PCL and the PLC. The dial test may also be performed on a patient in supine position, but two examiners are needed—one to hold the hip and knee flexed, and the other to rotate the foot/lower extremity (figure 6).

Nuances to the dial test have been well described. Jung et al. evaluated the reliability of different methods of performing the dial test in patients with known PCL and posterolateral corner injuries. Two practitioners performed an examination at two time points before surgery. All tests were performed in the supine position with and without an anteriorly directed force on the tibia. They proposed that the anterior force would reduce the posterolaterally subluxated lateral plateau. They additionally compared measurements of the thigh-foot angle with the patella-tubercle angle and found the patella-tubercle angle to have near-perfect intra-observer and inter-observer agreement while thigh-foot angle had only substantial agreement. One of the most notable findings was that applying an anterior force to the tibia significantly increased the external rotation of the injured knee relative to the contralateral side by an additional 6° of thigh foot angle and 4° of patella-tubercle angle at both 30° and 90° of knee flexion. Their data suggest that an anteriorly directed tibial force should be applied while performing the dial test in supine position to fully elicit side-to-side difference. Performing this manoeuvre in prone position may eliminate the posterior tibial sag, reducing the need for an active anterior tibial force. They also favour the use of patella-tubercle angle as a more reliable reference than the thigh-foot angle to measure rotational differences between the limbs.

LaPrade and colleagues recommend performing the dial test in both supine and prone positions as the supine position allows the examiner to more easily assess anteromedial versus posterolateral subluxation. Anteromedial subluxation implies posteromedial corner injury while posterolateral subluxation implies posterolateral corner injury.

Bae et al. assessed the dial test in a cadaveric study. With the knee at 30° of flexion and the PCL intact, the relative increase in external rotation only became significant once the LCL, PFL and PLT were all sectioned. This implies that the 30° state of the
dial test requires injury to several posterolateral structures of the knee. English and Perret also reported limitations of the dial test when used to assess partial tears of the posterolateral corner and discussed positioning of the tibia during prone and supine dial testing.52

Reverse pivot shift test
The reverse pivot shift test assesses for posterolateral rotatory instability. With the patient in supine position and the knee flexed to 90°, the tibia is externally rotated, and a valgus moment and axial load are applied. The knee is then gradually extended. As the iliotibial band’s force vector changes from flexion to extension, a palpable reduction of the posteriorly subluxated lateral tibial condyle indicates injury to posterolateral structures.33 The reverse pivot shift has been demonstrated to have a false-positive rate of up to 30% in normal knees33 (figure 7).

Posterolateral drawer test
Posterolateral drawer test was described by Hughston and Norwood in 1980 as a posterior drawer test with the tibia in 15° of external rotation. The examiner palpates the lateral tibial plateau to assess for posterior subluxation indicative of a PLC injury and possibly concomitant PCL injury.5 7 The manoeuvre has subsequently been described differently. With the patient in supine position, and the knee flexed to 90°, the examiner applies a posterior and external rotation force to the proximal tibia, while palpating the anteromedial tibia, assessing for anteromedial tibial step-off.43 Levy and colleagues provided an important caveat to the posterolateral drawer stating that “The take-home message of these diagnostic studies is that, although these tests are likely to be positive in patients with PLC injury, they may not be attributed to any one structure nor have their exact sensitivity nor specificity been evaluated in a population of multiligament-injured knees”53 (figure 8).

Figure-of-four manoeuvre
Placing the injured leg in the figure-of-four by bringing the lateral ankle of the injured extremity to the anterior thigh of the uninjured side allows for direct palpation of the taut LCL.53 Absence of a palpable LCL is considered positive (figure 9).

Posteromedial examination manoeuvres
Anterolateral drawer test
Slocum and Larson, in 1968, described one of the initial tests to evaluate for anteromedial rotatory instability.33 54 The manoeuvre is a two-part variant of the anterior drawer examination designed to isolate posteromedial structures in the setting of an ACL-deficient knee. The knee is flexed to 90° and an anteriorly directed force is applied with the tibia in both neutral rotation and 15° external rotation (figure 10). The externally rotated state is designed to recruit the posteromedial structures as secondary restraints. The posteromedial structures are considered intact if the translation is less in the externally rotated position than the neutral position. Equal or increased translation suggests incompetence of the posteromedial structures. LaPrade and Wijdicks19 suggest that, “Assessment of the amount of...
structures of the knee which should reduce anterior translation when intact. They report an important caution that if the posterolateral structures are compromised, the utility of the anterolateral drawer test for posterolateral structures is limited, a caution that has been echoed by other authors.\textsuperscript{18} \textsuperscript{54} \textsuperscript{55}

Anteromedial rotatory instability test

Dold \textit{et al} describe an additional physical examination manoeuvre for the posteromedial corner.\textsuperscript{56} A valgus stress is applied to a knee held at 30° of flexion with external rotation. The presence of rotatory instability, valgus gapping or anterior subluxation of the medial plateau indicates a positive test signalling injury to the MCL and posteromedial corner\textsuperscript{56} (figure 11).

Anteromedial drawer test

Wijdicks described the anteromedial drawer test as flexion of the knee to 90°, external rotation of the foot to 10°–15° and application of an anteromedial rotatory force. Tibial subluxation anteriorly indicates injury to the posterior oblique ligament and/or posteromedial capsular tissue. This rotatory instability should be differentiated from posterolateral subluxation which occurs with posterolateral corner injury as these can appear similarly but implicate different injury patterns.\textsuperscript{18} \textsuperscript{56}

Valgus stress test

The traditional valgus stress test is performed on the supine patient by applying a valgus load to the knee flexed to 30° and extended to 0° to assess the integrity of the MCL. The degree of medial opening is clinically assessed. LaPrade \textit{et al} advocated for a radiographic valgus stress test because of its ability to objectively measure the degree of medial knee instability.\textsuperscript{32} They conclude that increased medial gapping asymmetry of greater than 3.2 mm with the knee held at 20° of flexion implies a grade III MCL injury.

Posterior instability examination manoeuvres

Posterior drawer test

The posterior drawer assesses the integrity of the PCL. The examiner should begin with the tibial plateau 1 cm anterior to the medial femoral condyle with the knee flexed to 90°. Placing thumbs on the anteromedial and anterolateral joint line allows

Box 1 Key articles


Box 2 Validated outcome measures and classifications

- The physical examination manoeuvres most frequently used by the authors for assessment of posteromedial and posterolateral corner injury are the reverse pivot shift, the dial test, the posterior drawer, and the varus and valgus tests.

Box 3 Key issues of patient selection

- In the setting of acute injury, patient guarding may limit the sensitivity of examination manoeuvres.
- Examination under anaesthesia may be considered in patients unable to tolerate awake examination.
- Examiners may consider use of intra-articular local anaesthetic injection to reduce patient guarding.
- Clinical suspicion for vascular injury must be high when evaluating multi-ligamentous knee injuries and should be appropriately assessed.
Posterior sag test and quadriceps active test

The posterior sag test is performed with the patient in supine position with knees flexed to 90°. The position of the tibial plateau relative to the femoral condyles is compared with the contralateral knee with muscles relaxed. The medial tibial plateau is normally 1 cm anterior to the medial femoral condyle. The quadriceps active test can be performed by then asking the patient to fire the quadriceps muscles while the examiner holds the ankle as described by Daniel et al. An anterior shift of the tibia greater than 2 mm is indicative of PCL deficiency (figure 13).

ASSESSMENT OF ACCURACY

Bonadio et al correlated physical examination and MRI with intraoperative finding of a variety of knee injuries. They found MRI had a sensitivity of 100% for ACL injuries, 87% for PCL injuries, 58% for LCL injuries, and 24% for popliteus tendon injuries when compared with physical examination and intraoperative findings. They concluded that MRI was not as sensitive as physical examination for peripheral injuries. Levy et al explain the importance of performing the posterolateral drawer in the setting of a positive varus stress test. A negative posterolateral drawer in the setting of varus instability indicates an isolated LCL rupture, while a positive test indicates additional injury of the posterolateral structures.

GEOGRAPHICAL DIFFERENCES

The authors are not aware of any significant geographical differences in the understanding of posteromedial and posterolateral corner biomechanics or the application of physical examination manoeuvres because many of the recent advances in this area have been studied and reported with international consensus. MRI access may be more readily available in wealthier countries for confirmatory data, but physical examination remains a staple to accurate diagnosis. Geography does influence treatment techniques and strategies, but that is beyond the scope of this article.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The posteromedial and posterolateral structures of the knee perform important primary and secondary stabilising functions. Biomechanical studies continue to elucidate the specific static contributions of discrete structures of the posteromedial and posterolateral corners and may further characterise the dynamic interplay of these structures. Surgeons have several physical examination manoeuvres at their disposal to aid in the diagnosis of injuries to these structures. When left untreated, incompetent posteromedial and posterolateral structures of the knee can compromise cruciate ligament reconstructions and can lead to chronic instability. It is important that surgeons use all tools at their disposal to properly diagnose such injuries to develop appropriate treatment plans.