Summary:
Of three short form versions of the KOOS that are currently available (KOOS-12, KOOS-Global and KOOS-ACL) KOOS-Global is the preferred measure to detect changes beyond the initial return to sport phase following ACL reconstruction.

Data:
Background: The Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) is a commonly used patient reported outcome measure following anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction surgery. To minimize responder burden various short forms of the KOOS are now available, however they have not been extensively used following ACL reconstruction. Purpose: To compare a variety of KOOS short forms with each other and determine their responsiveness in patients who have undergone ACL reconstruction surgery. Methods: In part A, the KOOS was administered between 2 and 6 years (mean 3 years) following ACL reconstruction surgery to a cohort of 832 (489M, 343F) patients. From the full KOOS the following three short form versions were calculated: KOOS-12 Short form, KOOS-Global and KOOS-ACL. Descriptive statistics were calculated for all three measures and associations between them were explored using nonparametric (Spearman rho) correlations. Floor or ceiling effects were considered present if >15% of patients reported the worst (floor effect) or best (ceiling effect) possible score. In part B, the KOOS and a measure of overall knee function were administered at both 2- and 5-years following ACL reconstruction surgery to a cohort of 276 (149M, 127F) patients. The three short 3 forms were derived, and responsiveness was assessed using several distribution and anchor-based methods. From distribution statistics, the standardized response mean (SRM) and smallest detectable change (SDC) score was calculated. Using the anchor-based method, the minimally important change (MIC) score that was associated with an improvement in knee function was determined using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. Results: Ceiling effects were present for all KOOS short form versions. They were only marginally above threshold for the KOOS-12 and KOOS-Global were very highly correlated (rho = 0.98). The correlation between both these short forms and KOOS-ACL was also substantial (rho = 0.9). Only KOOS-Global scores significantly increased over time, whereas KOOS-12 and KOOS-ACL did not change. The increase in KOOS-Global was associated with a small (0.2-0.3) SRM. MIC scores ranged from 3.2 to 5.2 points, and for all measures MIC scores were larger than the SDC score at a group level. KOOS-Global was the only measure for which the mean difference between the two assessments exceeded both the SDC (group level) and MIC. Conclusion: Of the three short form versions of the KOOS that are currently available there was little to differentiate between KOOS-Global and KOOS-12, and both had superior psychometric properties compared to KOOS-ACL when used at a single timepoint 2-6 years following ACL reconstruction surgery. However, KOOS-Global had the greatest responsiveness to change between 2- and 5-years post ACL reconstruction surgery. It would therefore be the preferred measure to detect changes beyond the initial return to sport phase following ACL reconstruction.
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Summary:
This study investigates the performance of QT vs HT autografts with respect to achieving clinically meaningful outcomes and return to sport. Data: Purpose: To investigate the potential association between performing ACL reconstruction with HT or QT autograft, propensity for achievement of clinically meaningful outcomes, and return to sport (RTS). Methods: Thirty patients undergoing ACL reconstruction with QT autograft were matched by age, sex and body mass index to 62 patients undergoing ACL reconstruction with HT autograft. Clinical outcomes including the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) score, Marx scale, Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation (SANE), and Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) Pain Interference (PI) and Mobility scores, and metrics concerning RTS were collected. The minimal clinically important difference was calculated for each outcome measure using the distribution-based method equivalent to one-half the standard deviation of the change in outcome score at a minimum of one-year follow-up. Appropriate parametric or non-parametric testing was applied to compare the outcome measures, MCID rates and RTS metrics between the two cohorts. Results: Fifty-four patients (60.0%) were male and the mean age was 22.6 ± 6.8 years. No statistically significant differences were observed between preoperative or postoperative clinical outcome scores, nor the net change in these scores. Furthermore, no significant differences were observed in rates of MCID achievement for the IKDC (QT:90.0%, HT:93.5%), SANE (QT:90.0%, HT:98.7%), Marx (QT:98.3%, HT:90.3%), PROMIS PI (QT:96.6%, HT:95.2%), and PROMIS Mobility (QT:79.3%, HT:90.3%). The mean time to RTS was 9.1 ± 2.2 months. Mean time to RTS did not significantly differ between cohorts (QT:9.2 ± 2.4, HT:9.0 ± 2.9, p=0.75). The most common reason for failing to RTS in the HT cohort was not being given clearance (34.5%), while the most common reason in the QT cohort was other reasons outside of their health not related to their knee (38.5%). Notably, satisfaction with overall outcome (QT:96.6%, HT:91.1%, p=0.59) and kinesiophobia RTS after injury scores (QT:64.2 ± 27.6 vs. HT:59.4 ± 24.6, p=0.40) did not differ between the cohorts. Conclusion: The use of QT autograft in ACL reconstruction is a reasonable alternative to HT autograft as it confers similar rates of clinically meaningful improvement and RTS at short-term follow-up.
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Summary:
Blood Flow Restriction after ACL Reconstruction Does Not Accelerate Quadriceps Strengthening
Data:
INTRODUCTION: The use of blood flow restriction (BFR) therapy has gained popularity as an adjunct rehabilitation technique following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction (ACLR). The purpose of this study was to longitudinally evaluate the impact that BFR has on quadriceps strength following ACLR with quadriceps tendon (QT) autograft in comparison to traditional rehabilitation protocols. METHODS: Patients undergoing primary ACLR with QT autograft at a single institution were retrospectively reviewed. Included patients had a minimum of two isometric strength testing sessions via electromagnetic dynamometer (Biodex). Obtained strength measures included peak knee extension torque of the operative extremity and knee extension ratio (KER), in which the ratio of peak knee extension torque of the operative extremity over nonoperative extremity were compared. Strength measures were compared between patients that received BFR during postoperative rehabilitation and patients that underwent traditional rehabilitation without BFR up to three strength measurement sessions. Demographic and surgical factors including patient age at surgery, sex, BMI, level of competition, time from injury to surgery, time from surgery to strength measurement session, use of regional anesthesia, tourniquet time, and concomitant surgery were collected. Two group comparisons of continuous and categorical variables were analyzed by using Mann-Whitney U and chi-squared test, respectively. Statistical significance was set at 0.05. RESULTS: A total of 45 patients (26 female) in the BFR group and 36 patients (13 female) in the traditional rehabilitation group met inclusion criteria. Mean age of the entire cohort was 19.5 years. There were no statistically significant differences among demographic or surgical factors between BFR and traditional cohorts. Of patients receiving BFR therapy, the mean number of BFR treatments was 18 from surgery to first strength