Capsuloligamentous laxity is a significant independent risk factor for failure after arthroscopic Bankart repair, and hyperlaxity may increase the failure risk in younger patients with a small distance to dislocation.

**Data:**

**INTRODUCTION** Recurrent anterior shoulder instability after arthroscopic Bankart repair presents a challenging clinical problem, with the primary stabi-

**lization procedure often portending the best chance for clinical success. The purpose of the study was to determine if capsuloligamentous laxity has a modi-

**fying effect on the glenoid track, specifically for on-track lesions with a small distance to dislocation (DTD) from being an off-track lesion or the so-called “near-track” lesion. This may explain why some on-track lesions are at an increased risk of recurrent instability. We hypothesized that patients with ligamentous laxity and “near track” lesions would be at increased risk of recurrent instability following arthroscopic Bankart repair. METHODS Consecutive pa-

**tients who underwent primary arthroscopic Bankart repair for recurrent anterior glenohumeral instability with at least 2-year follow-up at a single institution between 2007-2019 were retrospectively reviewed. Patients with glenoid bone loss > 20%, off-track lesions, concomitant remplissage, or rotator cuff tear were excluded. Capsuloligamentous laxity, or hyperlaxity, was defined as external rotation >85 degrees and/or grade 2+ or greater load-and-shift in two or more planes. RESULTS 173 consecutive patients with mean age of 20.5 years and mean DTD of 16.2 were included for analysis. 16.8% sustained a recurrent dislocation and 6.4% had recurrent subluxations (defined as any subjective complaint of recurrent instability without frank dislocation), with an overall recurrent insta-

**bility rate of 23.1%. The rate of revision stabilization was 15.6%. Mean time to follow-up was 7.4 years. Independent predictors of recurrent instability were younger age (p = 0.001), smaller DTD (p = 0.021), >1 instability episode pre-op (p = 0.001), and presence of hyperlaxity on EUA (p = 0.013). Among patients with near-track lesions, those with hyperlaxity had a recurrent instability rate almost double those without hyperlaxity (OR 34.1, p = 0.036). The increased rate of failure and recurrent dislocation in the near-track hyperlaxity cohort remained elevated, even in patients with no bone loss. DISCUSSION Capsu-

**lologamentous laxity is a strong independent risk factor for failure after arthro-

**scopic Bankart repair alone and is an even greater risk factor in patients with a small DTD. As our understanding of the glenoid track continues to evolve, sur-

**geons may need to consider the track concept as a continuum and consider surgical algorithms other than an arthroscopic Bankart alone in patients with near-track lesions and hyperlaxity at time of surgery.**
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**Summary:**

There is benefit in performing remplissage for patients with “near-track” lesions and all contact athletes with Hill-Sachs lesions.

**Data:**

Introduction: Recent studies have shown that “on-track” shouldar with a small distance to dislocation (DTD) have high rates of recurrent instability following arthroscopic labral repair (ALR). The purpose of this study was to compare recurrent instability rates and patient reported outcomes (PROs) between pa-

**tients with “on-track” Hill-Sachs lesions who underwent ALR alone versus pa-

**tients who had received ALR with remplissage (ALR + R). Our hypothesis was that performing a remplissage in addition to ALR would decrease the rate of recurrent instability, especially among high-risk subjects such as contact athletes. Methods: We performed a retrospective analysis of patients age 12-60 years old with “on-track” shoulders who underwent ALR + R between Jan 2014 and Dec 2019 at a single institution, with minimum 2-year follow-up. Exclusion criteria included: prior ipsilateral shoulder surgery, >20% glenoid bone loss (GBL), “off-

**track” Hill-Sachs lesion, concomitant rotator cuff repair, and connective-tissue disorder. We then identified a cohort of patients meeting the same inclusion and exclusion criteria who had undergone ALR alone. Patient age, gender, follow-up time, first-time dislocation vs. multiple dislocations, and contact sport partici-

**pation were recorded. GBL, Hills-Sachs Interval (HSI), glenoid track (GT), and DTD were measured from pre-operative MRIs.**

**Western Ontario Shoulder Insta-

**bility Index (WOSI), Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation (SANE) scores, and recurrent dislocation/revision surgery status was also collected. Subgroup anal-

**ysis was performed on “high-risk” patients (DTD <10mm and contact sport participation) from each cohort. Results: The ALR + R cohort had 17 subjects and the ALR cohort had 51 subjects. There were no differences in demographic variables or GBL between cohorts (P>0.05). The ALR + R subjects had larger HSI (14.7mm ± 2.4 vs 5.7mm ± 5.0; P<0.001) and smaller DTD (8.2mm ± 3.2 vs 16.2mm ± 5.7; P<0.001). There were no difference in WOSI (304.2 ± 213 vs 302.4mm ± 344.2; P=0.98) or SANE (84.3 ± 16.6 vs 87.3 ± 8.9; P=0.94) scores between groups. Only 1 (5.9%) subject in the ALR + R cohort had a recurrent subluxation, and there were no dislocations or revision surgeries. The ALR cohort had 7 (13.7%) recurrent dislocations, 3 (5.8%) recurrent subluxations, and 6 (11.8%) revision surgeries. Univariate analysis showed that smaller DTD was predictive of recurrent instability (OR 0.88; 95% CI (0.77 – 0.99); P=0.037). Multivariate analysis indicated that smaller DTD (OR 0.71; 95% CI (0.56 – 0.87); P=0.001) and contact sport participation (OR 8.67; 95% CI (1.19 – 63.35); P=0.033) were associated with increased risk of recurrent instability. After adjusting for contact sport participation and DTD value, the ALR + R cohort had a 98.8% lower risk of recurrent instability compared to the ALR cohort (OR 0.012; 95% CI (0.0001 – 0.22)); P=0.003). Among “high risk” subjects, there was only 1 (11.1%) instability event in the ALR + R group and 4 (80%) in the ALR alone group (P=0.023). Conclusion: DTD calculations can be used as an independent predictor of recurrent shoulder dislocation following ALR for treatment of anterior shoulder instability. For patients with “on-track” shoulder lesions, but small DTD measurements (“near-track” lesions), remplissage is protective against recurrent instability events and need for revision surgery. This may be especially true for “high-risk” patients, such as those who participate in contact sports.
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**Summary:**

In patients that underwent a minimum of 6-months of nonoperative management for isolated posterior glenohumeral instability, failure occurred approximately 47% of the time and was associated with a greater posterior humeral head subluxation, less posterior acromial coverage, greater posterior acromial height, and greater amounts of glenoid retroversion on index MRI than those who did not fail.

**Data:**

Background: Nonoperative management of posterior shoulder instability is common, however there is limited data available to assess the pathomorphologic factors associated with failure of nonoperative treatment. Having a better un-

**derstanding of the natural history of posterior glenohumeral instability as well as insight into specific morphology that is associated with poor survivorship of nonoperative management can play a key role in patient counseling and guide management. Purpose: The purpose of this study is to determine what, if any, glenohumeral pathomorphology may predispose patients to fail nonoperative management. Study Design: Retrospective Cohort Study Methods: We conducted a retrospective review of a consecutive series of patient with isolated posterior shoulder instability, defined as isolated posterior labral tear on MRI with cor-

**responding physical exam findings (Kim and Jerk tests), had undergone nonop-

**erative management for a period of 6 months and did not have any past surgical history with respect to the affected shoulder. Our primary outcome was risk factors for failure of non-operative management including posterior glenoid bone
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